

UNITED STATE SEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/034,553	03/03/98	EDWARDS			∌	
— DANIEL D RYAN FULLER RYAN HOHENFELDT & 633 WEST WISCONSON AVENUE MILWAUKEE WI 53203		19M1/0201	\neg	JASTRZĀ	AMINER	
		VENUE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					02/01/99	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

ŧ,

Application No. 09/034,553

Applicant(s)

Edwards et al.

Examiner

Jeffrey Jastrzab

Group Art Unit 1911



X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/3/98 and 7/13/98 .						
□ -	This action is FINAL .						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
is lo app	nortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever larger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the lication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of CFR 1.136(a).						
Disp	position of Claims						
0	X Claim(s) 11-22 is/are pending in the application.						
	Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	X Claim(s) 11-22 is/are rejected.						
[Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
[Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.						
Арр	lication Papers						
	XI See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.						
[The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
[☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐approved ☐disapproved.						
[The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
[Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
	☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
	☐ received.						
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)						
	\square received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	*Certified copies not received:						
[Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Atta	achment(s)						
{	X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892						
	X Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)3						
	Interview Summary, PTO-413						
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948						
L	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
	SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES						

Application/Control Number: 09/034,553

Page 2

Art Unit: 1911

ζ.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 11-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no teaching in the specificaiton to support the use of the currently claimed embodiment as an ablation device. Note in particular page 28 line 31 to page 30 line 24 of the specification wherein the described embodiment is used soley for mapping procedures.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 1911

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Stern '201.
- 5. Claims 11, 14, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perlin '239 (cited by Applicants). As to Claim 20, note that the conductive area of the Perlin balloon is 'substantially covered' to form an electrode.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 15, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlin. Perlin discloses the invention substantially as claimed less the electrodes being formed on the balloon by ion beam assited deposition, teaching rather use of a condictive paint or metallic foil. However, absent any teaching of

Application/Control Number: 09/034,553

Page 4

Art Unit: 1911

criticality or unexpected result by Applicants for the use of such a technique to form the electrodes, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized any known technique to place conductive material on the balloon exterior in order to acheive the same functional equivalence.

Specification

8. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The section heading cited in the supplemental amendment filed 7/13/98 "Related Applications" is incomplete. The appropriate section heading to be cited prior to recitation of related applications is -- Cross-Reference to Related Applications--. Correction has been made by the adjudicator.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Desai and Taccardi disclose expandable/collapsible mapping/ablation devices.

Application/Control Number: 09/034,553

Art Unit: 1911

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Jastrzab whose telephone number is (703) 306-3497. General file and terminal disclaimer inquiries can be directed to the Patent Analyst, Nathanya Ferguson at (703) 306-3476. Fee or status inquiries can be directed to the Patent Assistant, Wan Laymon at (703) 306-3477. The fax number at this location is (703) 308-4363.

JRJ January 25, 1999 Jeffrey R. Jastrzab

Primary Patent Adjudicator

Patent Reengineering Lab 1911

Page 5